

6. Training Requirements

Most of the group felt that the qualifying examination was a good method of testing students and should not be abandoned. About 50% of the group felt that a published paper should be accepted in lieu of a thesis, and about 1/4 felt that both should be required. About 1/3 of the group felt that a training program should be launched which would train teachers in preference to investigators for smaller schools. The opinion was evenly divided on whether a structured or unstructured program should be the method of choice.

7. Other Requirements

About half of the group felt that they would accept an engineer or physicist into the physiology graduate program without prior biology and would consider him an excellent candidate.



CHANGE IN RULES FOR PRESENTATION OF PAPERS

As was stated in the May issue of THE PHYSIOLOGIST, the Society, at its business meeting in April 1962, voted the following:

"A person's name can appear only once."

"A member has only one of three choices - give a paper; be co-author of a paper; or sponsor a non-member."

The rules will be strictly enforced for the 1963 Spring meeting. The deadline for receipt of abstracts is January 15, 1963. Detailed instructions and abstract forms will be mailed to members the first of December.

Since the deadline for receipt of abstracts has been set as late as possible there will be no time for communication with authors who do not strictly follow the new rules. Abstracts not following the rules will not be accepted.

PLEASE FOLLOW RULES CAREFULLY